## Physical Cosmology and Galaxies II Thermal History

Amr El-Zant (CTP, BUE, Cairo)

## Google 'Cosmic History' → Images: Things Like



# Google some more: A **Thermal Bath** of **Particles and Antiparticles** that Leaves Relics



Tightly coupled, highly interacting, system

#### Couple of refs , including detailed/proper treatments

Kolb & Turner: The Early Universe (standard text) Classic text; Chapter 3 particularly useful

Daniel Baumann Tripos lectures Chapter 3 Similar notes are now on his Amsterdam website. (which I follow to some extent)



- Universe is expanding  $\rightarrow$  Should have been **'hot', in equilibrium,** in past
- $\rightarrow$  As *T* rises:
- Atoms ionize
- Nuclei disassociate  $\rightarrow$  individual protons neutrons  $\rightarrow$  quarks-gluons
- SM phase transitions (electroweak, QCD) expected. Others (GUT) predicted mass nuclei
- → Universe is testing ground for HEP (including dark matter models)

#### Recall FRW Models and Eras Today Talk -> mostly Radiation Dominated <~ 50 000 yr

Our understanding is the universe went through the following phases

- 1- Vacuum domination and vast exponential expansion ('inflation')
- 2- Radiation domination
- 3- Matter radiation
- 4- 'Recent' vacuum donation (again)

Recall 
$$\left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\right)^2 = \frac{8\pi G}{3}\rho - \frac{kc^2}{a^2}$$

**Time evolution for flat Universe** (always true for early uni.)

$$\boldsymbol{H^2} = \left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\right)^2 = \frac{8\pi G}{3}\rho$$

$$w \qquad \rho(a) \qquad a(t)$$

$$RD \qquad \frac{1}{3} \qquad a^{-4} \qquad t^{1/2}$$

$$MD \qquad 0 \qquad a^{-3} \qquad t^{2/3}$$

$$AD \qquad -1 \qquad a^{0} \qquad e^{Ht}$$



$$\mathrm{d}\rho + \left(\rho + \frac{p}{c^2}\right)\frac{\mathrm{d}V}{V} = 0$$

 $p = w\rho c^2$ 

#### • Using 'natural units': $c = \hbar = G = k_B = 1$

- Temperature, energy, momentum and mass are in electron volts
- Length and time are in inverse electron volts

## → Radiation era expansion rate

$$H \sim T^2/M_{\rm pl} \longleftarrow$$

Recall 
$$\left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\right)^2 = \frac{8\pi G}{3}\rho$$
  
 $H \sim \sqrt{\rho}/M_{\rm pl}.$   
Uses Stephan Boltzmann law  
reduced Planck mass  
 $M_{Pl} = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{8\pi G}} = 2.43 \ 10^{18} {\rm GeV}$ 

- Already twiddle '~' sign reappearing!
- →we will be making mainly order of magnitude (factor ten) estimates
- $\rightarrow$ As always, important in astrophysics/cosmology

## The Cosmic Microwave Background: Tells of Prior Thermal Equilibrium

- Current temp. of spectrum: 2.728 Kelvin ~  $2.4 \ 10^{-4} eV$
- Current energy density of CMB:
- $(4\sigma/c)T^4 = 4.19 \times 10^{-14} \text{ Jm}^{-3}$  $\Rightarrow 2.6 \ 10^5 \text{eV m}^{-3}$
- Energy of 'typical' photon  $E = h v \sim k T$ (since distn  $\sim v^3 e^{-\frac{E}{kT}}$ )



**Photon Entropy** ~  $n_{\gamma}a^3$  **dominates. Small ratio**  $\frac{n_b}{n_{\gamma}} \equiv \eta \sim 10^{-9}$  **Conserved** 

Ex.: use Stephan-Boltzmann law + current matter mass density,  $3 \ 10^{-27} \frac{\text{kg}}{\text{m}^3}$ , to obtain  $T_{\text{eq}}$  of matter rad. equality (~  $10^4 K$ )

## **Thermal Equilibrium and the Notion of Temperature**

Off Boltzmann's tombstone

Independent probabilities

$$\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{p}_1 \mathbf{p}_2 \dots \mathbf{p}_N = \prod_{i=1}^N p_i$$

 $S - k \ln \theta$ 

$$S = -k\sum_{i} p_i \ln p_i \implies dS = -k\sum_{i} (1 + \ln p_i) dp_i$$

Constraints

$$\sum p_i = 1 \longrightarrow \sum_i dp_i = 0$$

$$\sum p_i E_i = U \longrightarrow \sum_i E_i dp_i = 0$$
Condition
$$dS = -k \sum \ln p_i dp_i = 0 \longrightarrow \boxed{\ln p_i = \alpha - \beta E_i}$$



© CanStockPhoto.com - csp65766747

$$\alpha \equiv Z = \sum_{1}^{N} e^{-\beta_i E_i}$$
 normalizing partition function

Allow for small change in energy

#### Number densities in Thermal Equilibrium

• Spatially homogeneous system with phase space density  $f(p) \rightarrow$ 

 $dn = gf(p)dp_xdp_ydp_z \rightarrow n = 4\pi g \int f(p)p^2dp$ 

(isotropic momenta and number of internal deg. freed., e,.g. spin, g)

$$n = 4 \pi g \int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}p \, \frac{p^2}{\exp\left[\sqrt{p^2 + \tilde{m}^2}/T\right] \pm 1}$$

**Relativistic** 

$$(m \ll T)$$
  $n \sim g T^3$ 

<u>Non-relativistic</u>  $(m \gg T)$   $n \sim g (mT)^{\frac{3}{2}} e^{-\frac{m}{T}}$ 

Chemical equilib.  $\rightarrow$  particles created - annihilated so as to keep these distn  $\rightarrow$ 

Non-relativistic parts  $\rightarrow$  more difficult to make  $\rightarrow$  lose out and suppressed

 $\rightarrow$  As T  $\rightarrow$  0 a massive particles should vanish... !

 $f(p) \sim \frac{1}{e^{\frac{E(p)}{T}+1}}$ 

From above, 'Normal matter'; should vanish; it's existence suggests violations of baryon number and charge parity conservation

 $\rightarrow$  **Baryogenesis**  $\rightarrow$  baryon asymmetry (probably BSM).

Note also: We have ignored the chemical potential in above distributions. It is 0 for photons and unimportant at high T. and sums to zero for particle0anti pairs (which may annihilate to photons). We will use heuristic arguments that circumvent its use when, strictly speaking, it is

#### Relativistic Degrees of Freedom g\*

#### **Relativistic particles act as 'radiation'**

The total **energy density of relativistic species** is (using Stefan-Boltzmann again in natural units)

$$\rho_r = \sum_i \rho_i = \frac{\pi^2}{30} g_{\star}(T) T^4 \qquad s = \sum_i \frac{\rho_i + P_i}{T_i} \equiv \frac{2\pi^2}{45} g_{\star S}(T) T^3$$

**Expansion influenced by number of relativistic degrees of freedom** (essentially number of species and their internal degrees of freedom; as spin)

$$\frac{T}{1\,\mathrm{MeV}} \simeq 1.5 g_{\star}^{-1/4} \left(\frac{1\,\mathrm{sec}}{t}\right)^{1/2}$$

Number density of photons 
$$n \sim \frac{1}{a^3} \sim T^3 \rightarrow T \sim \frac{1}{a}$$

Including all relativistic d.o.f.:  $T \propto g_{\star S}^{-1/3} a^{-1}$ 

A thermal particle is **relativistic if:** 



#### A particle is in thermal **equilibrium** if: interaction rate with thermal bath > > expansion rate



Annihilation  $\rightarrow$  states transferred to photon bath  $\rightarrow$  entropy Conserved

## Neutrino Decoupling

• Neutrinos are coupled to electrons through weak interactions  $G_F \sim \alpha/M_W^2 \sim 1.17 \times 10^{-5} \text{ GeV}^{-2}$ 

 $\begin{array}{ccc} n \sigma v_{rel} & H \\ \text{Rule of thumb: decoupling} \rightarrow \text{ decouple when interaction rate} & \sim \text{expansion rate:} \\ time & \sim & time \end{array}$   $(\text{recall } H \sim T^2 \text{ in rad era and assumed relativistic } n_e \sim T^3) & \frac{\Gamma}{H} \sim \frac{\alpha^2 M_{\text{pl}} T^3}{M_W^4} \sim \left(\frac{T}{1 \text{ MeV}}\right)^3$ 

→ When scales ~ 3 billion times smaller than today ~ 1 s after start of expansion

#### <u>Cosmic Plasma Coupling</u> -- electromagnetic >> weak

- Gas fully ionized (and non-relativistic) → interacts with photons by Thompson scattering:
- Electron placed in EM field  $\rightarrow m_e \frac{d^2 z}{dt^2} = -e E_0 \sin(\omega t)$ ,  $\rightarrow$  oscillates  $\rightarrow$  radiates back

**Crossection**  $\equiv$  power radiated / mean incident energy flux ~ (classical electron radius)^2

$$r_e = rac{e^2}{4\pi \epsilon_0 m_e c^2} = 2.82 \times 10^{-15} \,\mathrm{m}$$
  $\sigma_T \approx 2 \times 10^{-3} \,\mathrm{MeV}^{-2}$ 

 $e^- + \gamma \leftrightarrow e^- + \gamma$  Photon Interaction rate  $= n_e \sigma_T v_{rel}$ (note relative vely c = 1 here!)



→ Should use appropriate H-scaling; but would change main conclusion little!

## Recombination: Era of Tightly Coupled Plasma Ends But When?

**Boltzmann factor**  $e^{-\frac{B_H}{T}}$  ( $B_H = 13.6 \text{ eV}$ : Hydrogen's binding energy)  $\rightarrow$  Probability of electron meeting ionizing photon



Proper calculation  $\rightarrow$  0.3 eV (e.g., Bauman's lecture notes)

(0.3 eV) 3600 K  $\rightarrow$  a (rec) = 1/1300  $\rightarrow$  z (rec.) = 1300  $\rightarrow$  t (rec)  $\sim$  300 000 yr for  $a(t) = (t/t_0)^{2/3}$ 

#### **Cosmological Element Production (BBN)**

• Elements beyond hydrogen need neutrons, which are in equilibrium with protons until weak scale freeze out:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} n + \nu_e &\leftrightarrow p^+ + e^- & \rightarrow \\ n + e^+ &\leftrightarrow p^+ + \bar{\nu}_e \end{array} \qquad \begin{pmatrix} n_n \\ n_p \end{pmatrix}_{eq} = e^{-\mathcal{Q}/T} \qquad \mathcal{Q} \equiv m_n - m_p = 1.30 \text{ MeV.} \end{array}$$

\*\*At weak freeze out (~ 1 MeV, as we saw) neutron fraction ~ 1/6

\*\* Elements cannot form until Boltzmann suppression ~  $10^9 e^{-\frac{B_D}{T}}$  overcome at ~ 0.1 MeV (as in CMB recombination at ~ 0.3 eV)  $\rightarrow$  Neutrons decay till binding energy ( $B_D$ ) bottleneck passed  $\rightarrow \frac{n_n}{n_p} \rightarrow 1/8$ 

**\*\*** ~ all neutrons go to (energetically favoured) Helium (once 'D bottleneck' overcome – only two body interactions possible))

→ abundance of Helium nuclei (2 neutrons each) ~  $\frac{n_{\text{He}}}{n_{\text{H}}} \sim \frac{1}{16}$  → by mass 1/4

\*\*Heavier elements absent due to 'delay'  $\rightarrow$  low densities (process ends after ~ three min... estimate it!)

## Of BBN and BSM (earliest empirical relic yet)

\*\*Dependence on baryon dens.  $\rightarrow$ 

**Non-Baryonic Dark Matter dominant** 

\*\* Dependence on expansion rate  $\rightarrow$ number of relativistic species (with m << T) (Recall the expansion rate  $H^2 \sim \rho \sim g_*$ )

#### $\rightarrow$ puts bounds on neutrino species

(and any other relativistic species prior to T~MeV)

\*\*Places constraints on G and G<sub>F</sub> at early times ++ Constraints on non-standard cosmology



Vertical line Baryon fraction ~ 5 %

#### <u>What is the DM:</u> A Thermal WIMP Miracle?

#### Assume DM is composed of weakly interacting particles

Freeze out interaction rate ~ expansion rate  $\rightarrow \frac{\Gamma}{H} \sim \frac{\alpha^2 M_{\rm pl} T^3}{M_W^4} \sim \left(\frac{T}{1 \text{ MeV}}\right)^3$  for relativistic particle ---  $m_{DM}$  < MeV

→'Freeze out' abundance ~ photons → mass density relative to protons ~  $\frac{10^9 m_{DM}}{1 \text{ Gev}}$  -> Huge, unless *m tiny* 

- Number Density that matches measured 
$$f_{DM} = \frac{\Omega_{DM}}{\Omega_b} \simeq 5$$
 is  $n_{DM} \sim f_{DM} \frac{1 \text{ GeV}}{m_{DM}} \ 10^{-9} \ T^3$ 

<u>Non relativistic limit</u>: Boltzmann suppression  $\sigma \rightarrow$  constant crossection

Decoupling Condition  $n_{DM} \sigma v \sim f_{DM} 10^{-9} T^3 v_{rel} \sigma \sim \frac{T^2}{M_{pl}}$  with  $v_{rel} \sim \left(\frac{T}{m_{DM}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ 

$$\frac{m_{DM}}{T_{dec}} \sim \left(10^{-9} f_{DM} \sigma M_{\rm pl}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}} \sim \left(10^{-9} \frac{f_{DM}}{5} \frac{\sigma}{10^{-8} GeV} M_{\rm pl}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}} \sim 24$$

The 'Miracle': Non-relativistic equilibrium  $\frac{n_{DM}}{n_{\gamma}} \sim \left(\frac{m_{DM}}{T}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} e^{-\frac{m_{DM}}{T}}$ 

For  $\frac{m_{DM}}{T_{dec}}$  as above  $\rightarrow$  right abundance if  $m_{DM} \sim 100 \text{ GeV} \rightarrow$  weak scale!

- More sophisticated?
- Use Boltzmann equation

for *comoving* number density of DM candidate X

$$\frac{dN_X}{dt} = -s \langle \sigma v \rangle \left[ N_X^2 - (N_X^{\text{eq}})^2 \right]$$

• Abundance suppressed right way  $\rightarrow$ 



Note: 1)  $\langle \sigma v \rangle$  'thermally averaged' over a Maxwellian;  $\langle \sigma v \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} \sim 0.1 G_F$  -> characteristic of weak scale.

## **Searching for WIMPS**

- **Direct Detection** experiments (DM in the room!)
- LHC (at CERN)
- Annihilation Signals (in the sky)





 $Cm^{2} \sim 4 * 10^{-28} GeV^{-2}$ 

Direct detection constraint (CERN Courier)

#### Experimental constraints $\rightarrow$ WIMP miracle: waning and withering?

(Also appears withering at LHC...)

#### Some Alternatives

- Sterile neutrinos (can be produced from oscillations with regular ones)
- →'Warm dark matter' in keV range
- Axions (introduced to solve CP violation problem in QCD and also in string theory ---currently topical 'fuzzy dark matter')

 $\rightarrow$ Tiny mass and different production mechanism --- can lead to quantum wave effects

#### Non-thermal production of WIMPS or WDM

e.g., from direct decay of Inflaton like field  $\rightarrow$  escapes thermal constrains.

Normally combined with 'entropy production' (decay of field into relativistic particles) which can adjust expansion rate and thus the DM abundance (diluting it)

#### **Constrained** by **BBN and CMB**

 $\rightarrow$ ++ Large large scale distribution and statistical characteristics of galaxies and clusters





NASA/WMAP Science Team

#### Overview of Evolution

Event	time $t$	redshift $\boldsymbol{z}$	temperature ${\cal T}$
Inflation	$10^{-34}$ s (?)	-	-
Baryogenesis	?	?	?
EW phase transition	20  ps	10 <sup>15</sup>	$100 \mathrm{GeV}$
QCD phase transition	$20 \ \mu s$	$10^{12}$	$150 { m MeV}$
Dark matter freeze-out	?	?	?
Neutrino decoupling	1 s	$6 \times 10^9$	1 MeV
Electron-positron annihilation	6 s	$2 \times 10^9$	$500 \ \mathrm{keV}$
Big Bang nucleosynthesis	3 min	$4 \times 10^8$	$100 \ \mathrm{keV}$
Matter-radiation equality	60 kyr	3400	0.75 eV
Recombination	260–380 kyr	1100-1400	0.26-0.33 eV
Photon decoupling	380 kyr	1000-1200	$0.23-0.28 \ eV$
Reionization	100–400 Myr	11-30	$2.67.0~\mathrm{meV}$
Dark energy-matter equality	9 Gyr	0.4	$0.33~{ m meV}$
<sup>Pr</sup> From lecture notes by Daniel Baumann <sup>0</sup>			0.24  meV

